

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27848 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-998 Year-2020 Thana- PURNIA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Purnia

=====

AMIT KUMAR AGRAWAL Son of Hanuman Prasad Agarwal Resident of
Village - Guru Bazar, Kadhagola, p.s.- Barari, Distt.- Katihar. At Present 259,
2nd Floor, 10th Cross 29B, Main J.P.Nagar, 1st Phase Bangluru (Karnatka).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Preeti Lath @ Preeti Agrawal W/o Amit Kumar Agrawal Resident of Village
- Permanent Address - Guru Bazar, Kadha Gola, P.s.- Barari, Distt.- katihar,
At Present D/o Sri Murari Lal Lath, Ranisati Chowk Kasba, Kasba, Distt.-
Purnia.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Chitranjan Sinha, Sr. Adv
		Mr. Dr. Bidhu Ranjan
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal
		Mr. Ramesh Agrawal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 13-09-2022 Heard the parties.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner undertakes to
remove the defects within four weeks of resumption of normal
court proceedings. In the eventuality of non-removal of defects
within stipulated period, office will place the matter before the
Bench.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under section 498(A), 323, 504 of
IPC.

Allegedly, the petitioner alongwith his family members of



the petitioner tortured the O.P. No.2 due to non-fulfillment of demand for dowry.

It is submitted by learned senior counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. The allegation leveled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. He further submits that the learned court below sent the parties for mediation in the mediation centre but the mediation between the parties have been ended as unsuccessful. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for O.P. No.2 opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioner tortured his wife and therefore it is not possible for her to live with him. The O.P. no.2 has already filed a divorce case and maintenance case against the petitioner.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case let the above named petitioner be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court



below where the case is pending/Successor Court in C.A. Case
No. 998 of 2020, subject to the conditions as laid down under
Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

