

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18727 of 2014

=====

Rajbala Kumari D/o-Sri Ram Prawesh Ray, R/O-Village, P.O.-Kurshaha, P.S.-
Mohiuddin Nagar, District-Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, New Secretariat Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, Bihar
2. The Director, (Primary and Elementary Education), Human Resources Development Department, New Secretariat Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, Bihar
3. The Director Mass Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna,
4. The Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
5. District Superintendent of Education, Samstipur.
6. District Education Officer, Samstipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh with
Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocates

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR)

Date : 05-12-2022

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. By filing the present writ application, the petitioner seeks a direction upon the respondent-authorities to adjust the petitioner against the suitable post as has been done in the case of non-formal Supervisors, who were earlier discharging their duties on the basis of stipend and for issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s).



3. Learned counsel for the State submits that the matter with regard to the selection of non-formal Supervisors and Instructors have attained finality pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble Apex Court.

4. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for ventilating his grievance, if he may be permitted to file a representation before the concerned authorities, he would be satisfied.

5. Learned counsel for the State has no objection.

6. In view of the submissions made on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

7. If the petitioner would file a representation before the concerned authorities, the same would be considered and disposed of by passing a speaking and reasoned order expeditiously.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

