

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37561 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-848 Year-2019 Thana- KANTI District- Muzaffarpur

Ajeet Singh S/o- Prabhu Singh Resident of Village- Ratnpura, P.S.- Kanti,
District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 27-07-2022 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to remove defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of four weeks from today.

Heard Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The application for grant of bail to the petitioner, above named, who has been made accused and put behind the bar in connection with Kanti P. S. Case No. 848 of 2019 giving rise to Sessions Trial No. 60 of 2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 448, 341, 147, 323, 326, 307 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code and subsequently Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code was added.

As per the prosecution case, it is alleged that on



15.11.2019, conspiracy was hatched up by altogether seven accused persons including this petitioner to kill the informant and in furtherance thereof the petitioner being the husband of the informant arrived at the house in the night and thereafter, the deceased was subjected to assault and later on, set to fire due to which she received burn injuries, during the course of treatment she died.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that from the tenor of the F.I.R., it is evident that the deceased was found unconscious and she was not even in a position to narrate the story and moreover, the entire prosecution case appears to be full of deliberation and exaggerations. It is further submitted that this petitioner is in custody since 19.11.2019 but after framing of charges, there is no progress in the trial and there is no likelihood of conclusion of trial in near future.

On the other hand, learned APP for the State opposes the bail application and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner.

Having considered the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking into account the specific accusation levelled against the petitioner and gravity of the offence, apart



from the petitioner being husband of the deceased, this court is not persuaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, however, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and take all necessary steps to concluded the trial as early as possible.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shakir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

