

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18010 of 2014

=====

Urmila Kumari Wife of Rajendra Yadav, Resident of Village- Nima,
Panchayat Dema, P.O. Binda, PS. Mohanpur, Distt. Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, I.C.D.S., Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. District Magistrate, Gaya
4. District Programme Officer, Gaya
5. Child Development Project Officer, Mohanpur, Distt. Gaya
6. Nivendita Kumari wife of Ramnandan Kumar, Resident of Village- Nima,
P.O. Boudha, PS. Mohanpur, Distt. Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Singh, AC to GP-26

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
ORAL ORDER

3 14-12-2022 No one appears for the petitioner.

The petitioner has been wrongfully denied appointment on the post of Anganwari Sevika at Nima Anganwari Centre, District-Gaya and the respondent no.6 has been appointed on basis her submitting forged and fabricated educational certificates. She has further stated that the selection process of Anganwari worker was cancelled by the C.D.P.O., Mohanpur on 28.02.2012 and again the process was started in 2013, she applied, wherein respondent no.6 was shown to have 74.85 merit points by 70.28 merit points. It is alleged that the respondent had produced false and fabricated educational certificates which resulted in placing her at a higher merit than



the petitioner. She filed a complaint to the District Magistrate. Thereafter the selection process was cancelled. Again the process of selection was initiated in 2014, wherein the petitioner was placed at merit 77.28 merit points and respondent was placed at merit 69.85 merit points. The said selection process has again been postponed. Being aggrieved the petitioner has approached this Court.

The respondents have filed their counter and pointed out that there were several complaints made with regard to the selection process and therefore the selection process was cancelled. As and when Aam Sabha be convened, the selection process has been conducted in terms of a new selection guidelines, wherein the petitioner can also participate.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court finds that no interference is warranted in the process of selection, which is underway.

The writ petition is wholly misconceived and is accordingly dismissed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

amit/-
Item no.26

U			
---	--	--	--

