

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7628 of 2022

=====

Gramin Vikash Sanstha Halsi, Lakhisarai, through its Secretary Raja Ram Prasad Sharma (M) aged about 45 years, S/o Ram Uchit Singh, Resident of Village-Noma, P.S.-Halsi, District-Lakhisarai. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Executive Officer, Bihar Board of Open Schooling and Examination Board, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Director, Bihar State Project Education Council and Sarv Siksha Abhiyan, Saidpur Road, Naya Tola, Bhikhna Pahari, Patna, Bihar.
3. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna, New Secretariat, Patna, Bihar.
4. The Executive Officer, Bihar Board of Open Schooling and Examination Board, Patna, Bihar.
5. The District Programme Officer, Bhagalpur.
6. The District Programme Officer, Banka.
7. The District Programme Officer, Jamui.
8. The District Programme Officer, Lakhisarai.
9. The District Programme Officer, Patna.
10. The District Programme Officer, Nawada.
11. The District Programme Officer, Buxar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Parmanand Pd. Nr. Sahi, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prabhakar Jha (GP27)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 27-07-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for following reliefs:-

- (i) Writ in the nature of a Mandamus or any appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions commanding the respondents to pay the admitted dues

of the petitioner as referred to in Annexure No. 7 as the said is admitted dues payable by the Respondents for the work done by the petitioner under contract with statutory interest.

- (ii) To any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not to be in favour with the submissions made across the Bar, learned counsel for the petitioner, more so in view of the disputed question of fact, fairly states that petitioner be permitted to take recourse to such equally, efficacious alternative remedies as are available in accordance with law.

Permission granted.

Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

We clarify that we have not adjudicated the dispute on merits. All questions of fact and law are left open to be agitated and adjudicated before the appropriate forum in appropriate proceedings.

Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/- Ranjan

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	29.07.2022
Transmission Date	NA