

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 7388 of 2022

-
-
1. Pramod Kumar Son of Om Prakash Singh, resident of House No. 19, Dumri, P.O. Jat Dumri, District - Patna, presently posted as Pashudhan Sahayak, Sub-Divisional Husbandry Officer, Patna Sadar, District - Patna.
 2. Ajay Kumar, Son of Late Jay Ram Sharma, resident of Mohalla - New Mainpura, near Sapt Devi Mandir, Kharanja Road, Dinapur-cum-Khagaul, P.O. and P.S. Khagaul, District- Patna, presently posted as presently posted as Pashudhan Sahayak, Sub-Divisional Husbandry Office, Danapur, District - Patna.
 3. Shailesh Kumar, Son of Late Kamta Choudhary, resident of Raja Bazar, Behind Chowdhary Bhawan, Raza Baazar, Rukunpura, Patna, P.O.- B.V. College, P.S. Shastri Nagar, District - Patna, presently posted as Pashudhan Sahayak in the office of Assistant Director (Cattle Development), Small Cattle Development Project (Hg. Level), Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Animal and Fisheries Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary, Animal and Fisheries Resources Department (Animal Husbandry), Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Animal Husbandry, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Vijay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr Rishi Raj Sinha, SC XIX

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

2 05-08-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the respondents through Virtual Mode.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the benefits under Assured Career Progression (for brevity, *ACP*) Scheme, which was granted to the petitioners by order dated 24.10.2017 (Annexure P4) issued by the Director, Department of Animal Husbandry, has subsequently been stayed by the



Director, Department of Animal Husbandry under order dated 29.12.2017.

The petitioners have represented before the Director, Department of Animal Husbandry on 27.08.2018 by Annexure P-8. However, no final decision has been taken on the petitioners' grievance with reference to their entitlement for benefits under ACP and the stay order is being continued without taking a final decision in respect of their entitlement. It is submitted that if the respondents consider their claim, then there is likelihood that the authorities would be convinced of the petitioners' entitlement for the reasons indicated in their representation.

In view of the limited submission made regarding pendency of the petitioners' claim (Annexure P-8), this writ petition need not be kept pending for any adjudication. The same is, accordingly, disposed of.

Respondent No 4 should take a decision on the petitioners' representation dated 27.08.2018 (Annexure P-8) without any delay.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

