

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11418 of 2021

Vaidya Nath Mishra, male, aged about 79 years, son of Late Haldhar Mishra
resident of Rental Flat no. 39 Kankarbag Colony, P.O. - Lohiya Nagar, Patna
20.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary Dept of Energy, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The South Bihar Power Distribution Corporation Ltd, through its authorized
officer, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Managing Director, The South Bihar Power Distribution Corporation
Ltd, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
5. The Chief Engineer, The South Bihar Power Distribution Corporation Ltd,
Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
6. The Superintending Engineer, The South Bihar Power Distribution
Corporation Ltd, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
7. The Executive Electricity Engineer, Electricity Supply Division Kankarbag -
I PESU(East) Patna.
8. The Revenue Officer, Electricity Supply Division Kankarbagh _I PESU
(East) Patna.
9. The Assistant Electrical Engineer, Electricity Supply Division Kankarbagh
_I PESU (East) Patna.
10. The Junior Electrical Engineer, Electricity Supply Sub Division Karbigahiya
(East), Kankarbag - I PESU Patna.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Abhay Kumar Thakur, Adv.
For the Respondent- SBPDCL	:	Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Sr. Adv. with



Mr. Ajay Kumar Verma & Mr. Akhileshwar
Singh, Advocates

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN
SINGH**

**and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN
SINGH)**

2 24-03-2022 The following is the relief which the petitioner is
seeking:-

“1. For restoration of electricity supply to the residential premises of the petitioner which has been disconnected illegally on 17.2.2021 (Annexure-2) quashing the further office order issued vide Letter no. 59 dated 06.04.2021 (Annexure-1) passed by the Junior Electrical Engineer Respondent No.10 and quashing the action of raising Bill by adding commercial charges of body corporate and the Bill raised and issued with Annexure-1.”

2. In view of subsequent development to the effect that electricity supply has been restored to the residential premises of the petitioner, subsequent to payment of the electricity bill by the petitioner's son, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application with a liberty to approach the Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum constituted under Section 42(5) of the Electricity Act,2003.



3. This application is, accordingly, disposed of as
withdrawn with the liberty as sought.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shyambihari/-

U			
---	--	--	--

