

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35080 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-462 Year-2020 Thana- SAKRA District- Muzaffarpur

=====

RAVI KUMAR @ RAVI KUMAR THAKUR @ RAVI RANJAN Son of
Nawal Kishore Thakur Resident of Village-Sakra Bazid, Police Station-Sakra,
District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N. K. Agrawal, Sr. Adv.

Mr.Sanjeet Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bal Mukund Prasad Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-03-2022 Heard learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sakra Police Station Case No. 462 of 2020, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 147/148/149/302/448/323/
307/427/354-A of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the
Arms Act.

The prosecution case, as per the First Information
Report, is that while the children of the family members of the
informant were playing on the road in front of their house, the
petitioner objected and abused them and upon intervention of
the informant, the accused persons, named in the First
Information Report, came there and abused the informant and



others and co-accused Navin Thakur and Arun Thakur caught hold of Mahtab and the petitioner inflicted knife blow on his chest, due to which he died.

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that both the parties are resident of the same locality and on the trivial issue, a quarrel between the parties had taken place and there was no intention of the petitioner to kill the deceased. From perusal of the First Information Report, it would be evident that on the point that the children were playing on the road, the altercation had taken place and in the fit of rage, the alleged occurrence has taken place. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 13.09.2020, having no criminal antecedent and charge sheet has been submitted against the petitioner and as such, there is no likelihood that the petitioner will abscond and/or tamper with the evidence.

Regards being had to the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties concerned and taking into consideration the nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner and the fact that the petitioner is the main assailant, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

However, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail



after six months from today, if the trial does not show any progress.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	√	T	√
---	---	---	---

