

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9785 of 2020

1. Rajeev Kumar Son of Sri Pramod Kumar Sharma resident of at and P.O. Lai, P.S. Bihta, District - Patna - 801112.
2. Bipul Kumar Thakur, Son of Laxman Thakur, resident of Village and P.O. Jajuar, P.S. Katra, District Muzaffarpur.
3. Manish Kumar, Son of Rampadarath Ray, resident of Varun Colony, Gola Road, Danapur, District - Patna.
4. Gopal Singh, Son of Shiv Narayan Singh, resident of Village - Chakki Nauranga, P.O. Nauranga, P.S. Shahpur, District - Bhojpur (Ara).
5. Mukesh Kumar, Son of Krishna Ram, resident of Village - Chanandpur, P.O. Akauna, P.S. Masaurhi, Dist. - Patna.
6. Meraj Ahamad, Son of Imtyaz Ahamad resident of Jangal Belash, P.O. Karnpura, District - Siwan.
7. Rana Pratap Singh, Son of Suresh Singh, resident of Village - Kakariya, P.O. Kalpa, P.S. and District - Jehanabad.
8. Raju Kumar Ranjan Son of Sahendra Mistri resident of Village - Khalilpura, P.O. Bajitpur, P.S. Karpi, Dist.- Arwal.
9. Ranjay Kumar, Son of Arbind Singh, resident of Vill.- Khetila, P.O.- Tetar, P.S. Atri, District - Gaya.
10. Uttam Kumar Singh, Son of Nilkanth Singh, resident of Village - Sardaha, P.O. Baghmari, P.S. Devipur, District - Deoghar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Home Secretary (Police), Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Home Secretary (Police), Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Inspector General of Police, ATS (Anti terrorist Squad), Bihar.
5. The Inspector General of Police (Head Quarter), Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dipak Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Nadim Seraj, GP-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 07-01-2022

The matter is heard *via* video conferencing due to
circumstances prevailing on account of the COVID-19



pandemic.

2. State counsel accept notice for respondents.

3. Petitioners' counsel is hereby directed to furnish hard copy of the petition to the State counsel.

4. In the instant petition, petitioners have prayed for following reliefs:

“(i) For issuance an appropriate writ, order or direction, directing the respondents to pay risk allowance (special allowance) to petitioner's as given to other police officer's and other police staff of ATS (Anti Terrorist Squad) vide Memo no. 1/M02-10-01/2009 Grih Aah 5723/Patna Dated 30.07.2013 working as well as on deputation in ATS (Anti Terrorist Squad) from the date of their initial appointment till date.

(ii) For grant of any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioner's are found entitled to.”

5. Grievance of the petitioners is pending consideration before the 4th respondent. Therefore, Respondent Nos. 2 and 4 are hereby directed to redress the grievance of the petitioners as to whether petitioners are entitled to risk allowance (special allowance) with reference to the policy decision of the State Government. Such policy decision shall be taken note of in passing speaking order and communicate the same to each of the petitioner within a period of four months



from the date of receipt of this order.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the instant petition stands disposed of.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

rakhi/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

