

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8338 of 2019

=====

Renu Devi Wife of Sharvan Thakur R/o Village-Teghraganj, Nagar
Panchayat-Teghra, Ward No. 14, Teghra, District-Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Chief Secretary Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate Begusarai
4. The District Development Commissioner Begusarai
5. Uco Bank through the Branch Manager, Danialpur Branch, Begusarai
6. The Branch Manager Uco Bank, Danialpur Branch, Begusarai

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kumar Praveen, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Vikash Kumar, SC-11
		Mr. Shivendra Kr. Raj, Advocate
		Mrs. Sheela Sharma, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 01-11-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

- A) For the issuance of appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions to the Respondent authorities to immediately release the loan amount in favour of petitioner under the **Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana (PMMY)** which has been arbitrarily not sanctioned as yet although petitioner as per instructions and guidelines of the above welfare scheme of Central Govt. and respondent no.6 had fulfilled the all eligibility criteria which is required for sanctioning the aforesaid loan scheme.



- B) For the issuance of appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction / directions to fix the responsibility and accountability of the person concern who is responsible for creating hurdle in sanctioning the loan in favour of petitioner under the **Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana (PMMY)**.
- C) For any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner is found entitled under the facts and circumstances of the present case.

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not in favour of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner shall be content if the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum and to take recourse to such other alternate remedies which are equally efficacious in law.

Prayer allowed.

It stands clarified that all issues of fact and law are left open to be agitated before the competent authority.

The petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.



Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Amrendra/Ashwini

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	04.11.2022
Transmission Date	

