

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27714 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-800 Year-2021 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

1. MAHENDRA PRASAD YADAV Son of Ganga Ram Yadav Resident of village - Tulapatti, P.O.- Parsa, P.S.- Kishanpur, District - Supaul.
2. Birendra Kumar Yadav Son of Prayag Narayan Yadav Resident of village - Kusaha, P.O.- Andoli, P.S.- Saraygarh, District - Supaul.
3. Mahendra Chaudhary @ Mahendra Prasad Chaudhary Son of Kishori Chaudhary Resident of village and P.O.- Singavan, P.S.- Kishanpur, District - Supaul.
4. Ramchandra Ray Son of Jivachh Ray Resident of village - Ashanpur Kupaha (Laxminiya), P.O.- Bhaktiyahi, P.S.- Kishanpur, District - Supaul.
5. Rajdev Mahta @ Rajdev Prasad Mehta Son of Late Babuji Mehta Resident of village - Nonpar, P.O.- Baisa, P.S.- Bhaktiyahi District - Supaul.
6. Shiv Prasad Sah Son of Fatehchandra Sah Resident of village - Kanhuan Goghiayari, P.O.- Babhani, P.S.- Gamhariya, District - Madhepura.
7. Uday Chandra Sahu Son of Late Ramji Sahu Resident of village - Tetrahi, P.O.- Amha, P.S.- Pipra, District - Supaul.
8. Jageshwar Sah @ Jageshwar Sahu Son of Late Mangnilal Sahu @ Mangni Ram Sahu Resident of village - Panchgachhiya, P.O.- Manoharpatti, P.S.- Marouna, District - Supaul.
9. Shiv Prasad Yadav Son of Bangali Yadav Resident of village - Surtipatti, P.O.- Chainsipatti, P.S.- Surti, District - Supaul.
10. Chandrakant Mehta Son of Late Jaynath Mehta Resident of village - Domhan, P.O.- Didhiya, P.S.- Nirmali, District - Supaul.
11. Mostt. Tara Devi @ Tara Devi Wife of Late Maheshwari Prasad Yadav Resident of village - Dumri, P.O.- Anchalpur, P.S.- Raghapur, District - Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar through the Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna through its Superintendent of Police, Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Spl.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Spl.P.P. for the Vigilance.



The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 477(A), 420(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 13(2), 13(1)(c) and 13(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and are relying on order dated 13.09.2022 in Cr. Misc. No. 38944 of 2022, to submit that the case of the petitioners are on a better footing than the case of Amar Bhushan who was granted anticipatory bail by the aforesaid order, as Amar Bhushan was the DPO who had reinstated the petitioners in service with back wages by interpreting the orders of this Court as recorded in the order dated 13.09.2022 in Cr. Misc. No. 38944 of 2022.

Learned Spl.P.P. for the Vigilance opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and taking into account, the reasons given in order dated 13.09.2022 in Cr. Misc. No. 38944 of 2022, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing



bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No. 800 of 2021 (G.R. No. 2181 of 2021) subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

