

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6876 of 2022

=====

M/s Sanjay Printing Works through its Partner Nawin Chandra Jaiswal (Male), aged about 64 years, son of Late (Dr.) Mathura Prasad Jaiswal, resident of Mohalla- Gurhatta, P.S. Khajekalan, Patna City, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Managing Director, Bihar State Text Book Publishing Corporation Limited (undertaking of the Government of Bihar), Pathya Pustak Bhawan, Budha Marg, Patna 800001.
4. Arvind Kumar Verma at present District Magistrate at Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. V.N.P. Sinha, Advocate Mr. Ajay Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Kameshwar Kumar (GP17) Mr. Arbind Kumar, AC To GP-17 Mrs. Anukriti Jaipuriyar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 22-06-2022 Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):

“(i) For quashing the order dated 21.03.2022 issued by the respondent Managing Director, Respondent No. 3, vide Memo NO. 446 whereas, in pursuance of the order, the blacklisting of the petitioner will be reduced ten years to three years as well as also directed for applying a fresh



empanelment which is against the order passed in CWJC No. 20242 of 2019, final order passed on 22.11.2021.

(ii) For holding tht the respondent authority is not entitled for a direction to the petitioner to put into a fresh empanelment, it is against the order of this Hon'ble Court as well as petitioner is already empanelled with the aforesaid Bihar Textbook Committee and security money has been already deposited, therefore, it is not necessary again for making empanelment as well as three years of blacklisting is already going to be expired in the month of August 2022.

(iii) For any other relief/ relief to which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the eye of law.”

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not in favour of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner shall be content if the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum and to take recourse to such other alternate remedies which are equally efficacious in law, including permitting the petitioner to file a fresh petition on a subsequent cause of action.



Prayer allowed.

The petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/DKS

U			
---	--	--	--

