

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33607 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-396 Year-2020 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

Ranjan Yadav @ Ranjan Kumar Yadav @ Ranjan Kumar Singh S/O- Mahrangi
Yadav Resident of Village - Belsara, Ward No. 7, P.S. - Raniganj, District -
Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 10-03-2022 Learned counsel for the petitioner in his
supplementary affidavit submits that in the cause title the
father's name of the petitioner has wrongly been mentioned as
'Mahrangi Yadav' but the correct name of father's of the
petitioner is 'Late Mahrangi Yadav.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be
removed within four weeks of start of normal functioning of the
physical court.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Raniganj P.S.
Case No. 396 of 2020 (G.R. No. 2839/2020) registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 392 and 411 of the Indian



Penal Code.

According to prosecution case, the informant after making collection of money as Manage of Raniganj Branch of Bharat Financial Inclusion Ltd. was coming to Belsara riding on his motorcycle came at Bhagendra Yadav Center and collected a company deposit amount of Rs.45,500/- and reached near Parsahat in Belsara pitch road, three culprits having subsequently identified as Ranjan Yadav herein the petitioner, Chhotu Yadav and Manish Yadav riding on T.V.S. Motorcycle stopped the informant and on the point of firearm looted the collection amount of Rs.45,500/- from the dicky of informant's motorcycle as well as also snatched away the informant's mobile and fled away.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in the present case only on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that during the investigation the C.D.R. details of mobile number of the petitioner as well as co-accused was found near the place of occurrence. The petitioner is in custody since 12.10.2020.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that there



is sufficient material available during the investigation against the petitioner that he was involved in the present occurrence and petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Araria in connection with Raniganj P.S. Case No. 396 of 2020, (G.R. No. 2839/2020), subject to the following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.



3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

mdrashid/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

