

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25686 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2021 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Munger

NIRAJ KUMAR RANJAN @ NIRAJ KUMAR SON OF YOGENDRA DAS
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- AMBEDKAR NAGAR. P.S- NAYARAM
NAGAR, DIST- MUNGER

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar BIHAR
2. NANDANI DEVI @ NANDANI WIFE OF RUPESH PASWAN D/O LATE
GARIB PASWAN RESIDENT OF MOHALLA- JAKARPURA, RENTER
IN THE HOUSE OF MANOJ PASWAN, P.S- SURYAGARHA, DIST-
LAKHISARAI

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravish Mishra
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Sharda Kumari
Mr. Prashant Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 09-11-2022 Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove
the defects within four weeks.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under section 498A of IPC and
section 3/4 of the D.P Act.

Allegedly, the marriage of the informant was solemnized
with the petitioner in a temple at Suryagarha. It is further
alleged that the petitioner ousted the informant from his house
and took away all her gold ornaments and has also demanded
dowry from her.



It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. The allegation leveled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. He further submits that the O.P. No.2 has married twice, one with Gopal Paswan in the year 2009 and the second one with Rupesh Paswan in the year 2011 and from the second wedlock she is also having three children. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006 (3) PLJR 182.***

Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the O.P. No.2 opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail by submitting that the petitioner has demanded Rs.5 lakhs as dowry from the O.P. No.2 .

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court



below where the case is pending/Successor Court in Mahila
Thana P.S. Case No.34 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid
down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to
make an application before the Court below for referring the
matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of
reconciliation or one time settlement.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

