

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33568 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-272 Year-2020 Thana- KRITYANAND NAGAR District-
Purnia

BALRAM TUDDU S/O LATE CHANDU TUDU R/O VILLAGE NEW
BAZAR, SINGHIYA, P.S- K. NAGAR (CHAMPA NAGAR), DISTRICT-
PURNIA.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shankar Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uday Pratap Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

4 28-06-2022 The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to
remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within
one month.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Sections 365 and 366A of the Indian Penal Code,
registered in connection with K. Nagar (Sri Nagar) P.S.Case
No.272 of 2020.

The present case has been lodged on the basis of a
complaint filed by the father of the victim girl. As per
allegation, the petitioner is cousin of the
complainant/informant. He used to come to his house. The
further allegation is that the petitioner enticed away the daughter
of the complainant/informant by a motor-cycle. When the
complainant/informant asked the petitioner to return his
daughter, he became furious and apprised the
complainant/informant that at his instance the marriage of the
victim was solemnized with some other person. The victim,
despite hectic effort, is still traceless.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the complaint petition was filed after two months. The complainant/informant is cousin and the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case.

Other other hand, the learned APP has submitted that the investigation is still going on and the victim has not yet been recovered.

At this juncture when the investigation is still going on and victim has not been recovered up-till now, I do not think it a fit case for anticipatory bail to the petitioner. His prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioner within the stipulated time as provided hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

