

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24330 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-524 Year-2020 Thana- HARSIDHI District- East Champaran

RANJEET PRASAD Son of Jagdeo Bhagat R/o Village - Dudahi Ward No. -14, P.S. - Harsidhi, District - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prateek Tandon, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant alleges that on 7.12.2020, he received an information from the villagers that a dead body of Reena Devi was abruptly cremated whereas one dead body of a female was kept in a cotton sack in a field. Accordingly, the informant reached the place of occurrence and identify the dead body as that of Pooja Kumari, it is next alleged that it appears that petitioner and Nandlal Bhagat (relative) have cremated one dead body and had kept another dead body to cremate.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that he is husband of Reena devi, it is also submitted that the FIR has not been instituted by the family members of Reena Devi rather by the Chowkidar who is the informant. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner has no concern with Pooja Kumari.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that no doubt the FIR has been instituted by the Chowkidar but then the circumstances in which the FIR has been instituted, it does create suspicion with regard to the conduct of the petitioner, it is also submitted that petitioner is the husband and if the death was natural then definitely the death certificate of the deceased would have been procured but the anticipatory bail application is completely silent on the said issue.

Considering the submission made by the learned A.P.P. the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

