

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6563 of 2022

=====

Amrita Kumari wife of Vijay Kr. Singh resident of Village- Chandpura, Police Station- Paru, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Food and Consumer Protection, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Food and Consumer Protection, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Supply Officer, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Sub- Divisional Magistrate Cum License Authority, Muzaffarpur (West).
6. The Block Supply Officer, Paru, District- Muzaffarpur.
7. Punam Devi wife of Rajnish Kumar Singh, Resident of Village- Chandpur, P.S. and O.P.- Devariya, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Upendra Pratap Singh, AC to SC 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR)

Date : 12-10-2022

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and
the State.

The petitioner was selected as the most suitable
candidate for being granted license for which he had
applied but only because of a complaint lodged by one
Poonam Devi, who has been impleaded in the present writ



petition as respondent no. 7, an inquiry appears to have been initiated which has yet not been concluded.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to Annexure-3 which is a notice to four of the complainants including respondent no. 7 and such persons who have been selected as licensee for a final determination of the disputes raised by such complainants.

We refrain ourselves from commenting on the manner in which notices have been sent by the SDO, Muzaffarpur (West) but we, under the circumstances, keeping in mind that it is the mandate of the Control Order, 2016 that all vacancies should be filled expeditiously, direct that all such complaints referred to in Annexure-3, be finally disposed of and if it is found that such complaints are not noteworthy or worth taking action upon, take the selection process to its logical conclusion.



The entire exercise ought to be completed within a period of ninety days, failing which this Court shall take serious view of the matter.

With the aforesaid directions/observations the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

krishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	14.10.2022
Transmission Date	NA

