

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34150 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-295 Year-2020 Thana- PIRO District- Bhojpur

=====

RANJAN KUMAR @ RANJAN YADAV Son of Bhikhari Singh Resident of
Village - Laharabad, P.S.- Piro (Hasan Bazar), Dist.- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shiv Prasad Gupta

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Aditya Narayan Singh 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 22-02-2022 Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove the defects as pointed out by the office within a period of four weeks. In the eventuality of non-removal of defects within the stipulated time, office will place the matter before the Bench.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case in connection with Piro (Hasan Bazar) P.S. Case No.295 of 2020, registered for the offence punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

The crux of the prosecution case is that four accused persons on two motorcycles chased the informant and after stopping him, looted his bag, in which Rs.70,495/-, tab, mobile were kept and also took away the key of bike.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that



petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case merely on suspicion. No such occurrence as alleged has ever taken place. The petitioner is neither named in the FIR nor any article has been recovered from his conscious physical possession. The name of petitioner transpired in the case only on the statement of co-accused with whom petitioner has inimical terms. Petitioner has three criminal antecedents, which is also mentioned in para-3 of this application.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

Under the facts and circumstances of the case, since the apprehended accused has confessed the participation of the petitioner in the alleged occurrence and has also attributed upon him the possession of the looted money, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

The prayer for anticipatory bail made on behalf of the petitioner named above is hereby rejected and accordingly, this application is dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

