

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23624 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2015 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

Amresh Kumar @ Buchan @ Amresh Kumar Vats @ Amresh Sharma Son of
Sachchidanand Shyam @ Sachchidanand Sharma Resident of Bhaikh, P.S.-
Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 26342 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2015 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

Ram Pravesh Sharma @ Rampravesh Sharma Son of Shiv Nandan Sharma
Resident of Village - Bhaikh, P.S.- Madhdumpur, Distt.- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 23624 of 2022)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Niraj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 26342 of 2022)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Niraj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Choubey Jawahar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 30-08-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection
with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No.45 of 2015, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 325, 326, 307
and 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

The petitioners along with other co-accused persons are
said to have assaulted the brother-in-law (Devar) of the informant



by means of various weapons on different parts of his body as a result of which, the brother-in-law of the (Devar) of the informant died. Petitioner No.1, Amresh Sharma is said to have assaulted Deenanath by means of *Garasa* on his head.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as stated in paragraph-3 of the bail application. It is further submitted that the allegations are general and omnibus. The FIR was lodged in the year 2015 and the petitioners were named in the FIR. It is submitted that some accused persons faced trial and they were acquitted (Sessions Trial No.286 of 2015/462 of 2015).

Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Taking into consideration the facts aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J.)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

