

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32366 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-133 Year-2020 Thana- KHAJAULI District- Madhubani

RAMAN SAHU S/o Jitan Sahu R/o village- Harishawara, Ward No.- 12, P.S.-
Khajauli, Distt.- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER**

2 27-01-2022 Heard learned counsel for the parties through virtual
court proceedings.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to
remove the defects within four weeks of normal court
proceedings. In the eventuality of non-removal of defects within
undertaken period, the office will place the matter before the
Bench.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Khajauli P.S.
Case No.133 of 2020, registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 354(B), 379, 448, 380, 504,
506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The petitioner is said to have assaulted the informant
on his head by means of farsa. When the mother of the
informant came to save him, Krishna Kumar and Raman Sahu



outraged the modesty of the mother of the informant. The petitioner and other accused persons also assaulted the father of the informant by means of lathi, danda and iron rod. The accused persons also snatched Mangalsutra from the neck of the mother of the informant. The accused persons also took away a box containing Rs.10,000/- and some papers.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is case and counter case between the parties and both sides sustained injuries. It is further submitted that the petitioner has also filed a case against the informant side. It is further submitted that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the facts aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

However, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from today and seek regular bail and the learned court below shall consider the



prayer for regular bail of the petitioner on the same day without
being prejudiced from the order of this Court.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J.)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

