

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24102 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-162 Year-2021 Thana- SAKRI District- Madhubani

=====

VASHISHTHA NARAYAN SINGH Son of Late Khashthi Singh Resident of
Village - Nazra, P.S.- Manigachhi, District - Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Nisha Kumari Daughter of Ratneshwar Prasad Singh Resident of Village -
Mohanbadiyam, P.O. and P.S.- Sakari, District - Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Md. Soban Asghar
For the State	:	Mr.Md. Ataur Rahman
For the Informant	:	Mr. R.S. Pandey
		Ms. Kusum Rani

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2022 The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to

remove all the defects pointed out by the office within one

month.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as

the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with

Sakari P.S. Case No. 162 of 2021, registered for the offences

punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 498(A) and

504/ 34 of the Indian Penal Code and sections 3/4 of the Dowry

Prohibition Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner is father-in-law of the victim. She made allegation only against her husband of demand of dowry and torture in first part of the FIR, but in later part, she has stated that her mother-in-law, Neelam Devi caught her hold and Archana Kumari attempted to throttle her. The allegation against the petitioner, who is father-in-law, that he dashed the victim.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in first part, there is no allegation against the petitioner of dowry demand neither there is allegation of inflicting torture, but in later part, a false allegation has been levelled that he dashed the informant on the ground. He is a person of clean antecedent having no concern with dowry demand.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the informant has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he dashed the informant on the ground and the family members including the husband demanded dowry of Rs.2,00,000/- from the victim side.

Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances as well as the clean antecedent of the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender, within four weeks from today, is directed to be



released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Madhubani in connection with Sakari P.S. Case No. 162 of 2021 subject to condition as laid down under section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioner within the stipulated time mentioned hereinabove, failing which, the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/Mahesh

U		T	
---	--	---	--

