

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23285 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-467 Year-2021 Thana- BIBHUTIPUR District- Samastipur

1. RANJANA DEVI @ RANJEETA DEVI W/o Naresh Paswan @ Nepali Paswan Resident of Village- Chakbiduliya, Tola- Purwari (Purushottanpur), P.S.- Bibhutipur, District- Samastipur.
2. Anarsi Devi W/o Suraj Paswan Resident of Village- Chakbiduliya, Tola- Purwari (Purushottanpur), P.S.- Bibhutipur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mirityunjay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Priya Sharan Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 10-11-2022 Vide order dated 11.10.2022, application against
petitioner no. 1 was dismissed as withdrawn.

Today learned counsel for the petitioner is pressing
this application for petitioner no. 2.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The instant application for anticipatory bail has been
filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection
with Bibhutipur P.S. Case no. 467 of 2021 instituted for the
offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149 and 302 of the
Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation in the FIR, husband of the informant
was assaulted by a mob of 60 members and during running
away to save his life, he lost the way and accidentally he



reached at the petitioner house. Petitioner started to raise alarm that unknown person has entered into her house, upon which local people gathered there and killed him.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and have committed no offence. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged incident. Petitioner is a lady and she raised voice against entry of an unknown at her house upon which local persons gathered there and kill him. Petitioner was not having intention of killing the deceased rather the incident occurred at the spur of moment. An FIR was also registered against the deceased and two unknown persons by the husband of petitioner nos. 2.

Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of Bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner no. 2 on bail. The petitioner no. 2 directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of her arrest or surrender in connection with Bibhutipur P.S. Case no. 467 of 2021, she will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM II, Rosera,
Samastipur subject to the conditions as laid down under section
438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

sushma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

