

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22468 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2021 Thana- DAUDPUR District- Saran

=====

TINKU KUMAR SINGH @ TINKU SINGH S/o Late Mainu Singh Resident
of Village- Pirauta, P.S.- Baniyapur, District- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Udai Shankar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jagdhar Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 18-07-2022 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 392 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against three unknown accused is of
snatching Rs. 58,325/- on the point of gun and also snatched
some documents and mobile and thereafter fled away.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that he is not named in the FIR. His name has surfaced in this
case only on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused
Priyanshu Kumar Singh, except said confessional statement,
there is no any other incriminating material against petitioner.
No TIP was done, although informant has stated that he can

identify accused. Petitioner has been remanded in this case on 30.07.2021.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Saran at Chapra, in connection with Daudpur P.S. Case No. 11 of 2021, subject to conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/veena-

U			
---	--	--	--