

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31330 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-216 Year-2019 Thana- PURNEA SADAR District- Purnia

Jalil Ansari @ Bulet Son Of Jakir Ansari R/O Village- Bhatoria, P.S.- Muffasil (RANIPATRA), District- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dr. Bidhu Ranjan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 22-03-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned APP for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sadar (Muffasil) P.S. Case No.216 of 2019 registered for the offence under Sections 306/34 of the IPC.

Prosecution case in short is that, the informant's sister marriage has been done with Habib Ansari three years ago. On 01.05.2019 the younger sister of the informant Noor Jahan informed him that Sahnaz Begum the committed suicide after hanging herself. After hearing that news the informant and his younger brother Md. Salam reached there, where he found his sister died. The bhaibur of his sister ill-behaved with her for which she had made many complaint to him and other in-laws were also torturing her. On 29.04.2019 while he was staying in the house of his second sister, he met with his sister (deceased)



on 30.04.2019 where she again reiterated the allegation of torture by the Bhaisur and then, the informant instituted the present case that all the accused persons torturing her and only due to torture she has committed suicide.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that there is no direct evidence of commission of murder and postmortem report shows that death was caused due to asphyxia by strangulation by rope (ligature). Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail and the petitioner's case stand on similar footing.

Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of communication of this order is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned CJM, Purnea in connection with Sadar
(Muffasil) P.S. Case No.216 of 2019, subject to the conditions
as laid down under section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Prakash Narayan /-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

