

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21018 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2020 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Rohtas

1. LALAN PASWAN Son of Late Dudhnath Paswan Resident of Village - Khudhunu, P.s.- Agrer, Distt.- Rohtas.
2. Ranjay Kumar Son of Lalan Paswan Resident of Village - Khudhunu, P.s.- Agrer, Distt.- Rohtas.
3. Ranjeet Kumar Son of Lalan Paswan Resident of Village - Khudhunu, P.s.- Agrer, Distt.- Rohtas.
4. Sanjeet Kumar Son of Lalan Paswan Resident of Village - Khudhunu, P.s.- Agrer, Distt.- Rohtas.
5. Sharda Kumari D/o Lalan Paswan Resident of Village - Khudhunu, P.s.- Agrer, Distt.- Rohtas.
6. Munna Paswan Son of Lalan Paswan Resident of Village - Khudhunu, P.s.- Agrer, Distt.- Rohtas.
7. Chandrawati Devi W/o Lalan Paswan Resident of Village - Khudhunu, P.s.- Agrer, Distt.- Rohtas.
8. Seema Devi W/o Munna Paswan Resident of Village - Khudhunu, P.s.- Agrer, Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Lalan Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 21-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

The instant application for anticipatory bail has been
filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection
with Dehri Mahila P.S. Case no. 55 of 2020 instituted for the
offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504/498A and 34



of the Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation in the FIR, it is a case of cruelty due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand. Petitioners have tortured in various ways to the complainant.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are relatives of the complainant's husband. They have been falsely implicated in this case. They have never demanded any thing from the complaint. They have no concern with the daily activity of the complainant and her husband Dhananjay Kumar . They are living separately. The sole responsibility to take care of wife is upon husband of the informant and not against his family members.

Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of Bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The petitioners are directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender in connection with Mahila P.S. Case no. 55 of 2020, they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate,
1st Class, Sasaram subject to the conditions as laid down under
section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

sushma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

