

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.212 of 2022

=====

Shatrudhan Manjhi Son of Suraj Manjhi, resident of Village Narhani, P.O. Ekma, P.S. - Ekma, District- Saran at Chhapra, and also residing at 42/1, Paigambarpur alias Ruppanpur, Panchkroshi, District - Varanasi, UP.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

Shiv Kumari Devi Wife of Shatrudhan Manjhi, D/o Raghunandan Manjhi, residing at Village - Mahui, P.S. - Ekma, District - Saran at Chhapra.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prakash Chandra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 30-06-2022

Heard Mr. Prakash Chandra, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The petitioner has challenged the order dated 02.02.2021 passed by the Family Court, Saran at Chapra, in Maintenance Case No. 23 of 2015 by which the interim maintenance of Rs.5,000/- has been fixed to be paid in favour of the Respondent/wife.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that while granting the interim maintenance, the learned court below has failed to consider the income and expenses of the petitioner/husband. The Respondent/wife has filed a petition for maintenance on the ground that her marriage was solemnized with the petitioner in the year 1988 and a girl child was born out of the



wedlock. The petitioner/husband is working as a Head Clerk in electricity department posted at village *Pagamerpur alias Rupan Pur*, P.S. *Saranath*, Distt. Varanasi and is getting salary of Rs.80,000/- per month. It further appears that the wife has claimed that the petitioner earns Rs.5,000/- as agriculture income from the two *Bighas* of land. The Respondent-wife has claimed that she is residing in her parental home and is not being looked after by his husband.

4. The learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Saran at Chapra, has considered the claim of interim maintenance and has arrived at the finding that the petitioner has not denied his employment and has vaguely stated that the contents of paragraph-3 is partly correct and partly incorrect and has not specified about the post the petitioner is holding and his salary. The Family Court has also come to the conclusion that the petitioner is employee of electricity department and is getting handsome salary. Accordingly, the learned Family Court fixed the interim maintenance to be paid to the Respondent/wife.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and after going through the impugned order, I am of the considered opinion that the learned court below has correctly arrived at the finding that the petitioner is employee of electricity department,



and, therefore, the interim maintenance awarded by the court below needs no interference.

6. Accordingly, this application stands dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

S.Ali/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	01.07.2022
Transmission Date	

