

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20957 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-406 Year-2021 Thana- BIRPUR District- Supaul

=====

Raju Mukhiya @ Raju Kumar Mukhiya S/o Mahavir Mukhiya Resident of
Village- Basantpur, Ward No. 11, P.S.- Birpur, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rama Kant Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dashrath Mehta, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2022 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period
of four weeks from today.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Birpur
P.S. Case No. 406 of 2021 registered for the offence under
Section 30 (a) of the Bihar Prohibition And Excise Act, 2018.

The accused/petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is in
custody since 03.03.2022.



The allegation against the petitioner is to have in possession of 36 liters of illicit Nepali liquor, which was recovered from the house of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that recovery is made from the house of the petitioner, which was jointly occupied by other family members, as such, it cannot be said to be recovered from the conscious physical possession of the petitioner and nothing surfaced during the course of investigation, which may connect the petitioner with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor. It is pointed out that petitioner is involved in three other criminal cases, in which he is on bail. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that investigation in this case is complete for which chargesheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State, while opposing the prayer of bail, fairly conceded that recovery is made from the house of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances as mentioned above, as recovery is made from the house of the petitioner, which was jointly occupied by other family members, as such, it cannot be said to be recovered from the conscious physical



possession of the petitioner coupled with the fact that chargesheet has already been submitted, let the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Birpur P.S. Case No. 406 of 2021 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District Judge-cum-Special Judge (Excise)-II, Supaul/concerned Court, subject to the following conditions:

“(i) That accused/petitioner shall not involve in the similar nature of offence till the conclusion of trial, failing which the State shall be at liberty to move before the Trial Court itself for the cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner.

(ii) Accused/Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be physically present on each and every date before the Trial Court till conclusion of the trial and exemption from physical appearance be allowed by the Trial Court, only on medical ground of the petitioner, duly supported by the documents.



(iii) That one of the bailors shall be Mahavir Mukhiya, who is the father of the petitioner and deponent of the present bail petition.”

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Ankit/-
Shweta/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

