

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20586 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2019 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Rohtas

-
1. BALRAM SINGH @ BALRAM Son of Babudhan Singh Resident of Village - Chourasi Mathiyan, P.S.- Suryapura, District - Rohtas At present resident of I Block House No.- 1456, Jahangirpur, Delhi.
 2. Parshuram Singh @ Golu @ Parshuram Son of Babudhan Singh Resident of Village - Chourasi Mathiyan, P.S.- Suryapura, District - Rohtas At present resident of I Block House No.- 1456, Jahangirpur, Delhi.
 3. Babudhan Singh Son of Late Sheojee Singh Resident of Village - Chourasi Mathiyan, P.S.- Suryapura, District - Rohtas At present resident of I Block House No.- 1456, Jahangirpur, Delhi.
 4. Usha Devi Wife of Babudhan Singh Resident of Village - Chourasi Mathiyan, P.S.- Suryapura, District - Rohtas At present resident of I Block House No.- 1456, Jahangirpur, Delhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Neha Kumari Daughter of Wakil Singh Resident of Village - Sarwan Tola, P.S.- Natwar, District - Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajani Kant Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sangeeta Sharma

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER**

2 01-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 498(a), 307 & other allied sections of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 / 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation against petitioners is of commission of torture and cruelty to the informant for dowry.

Petitioners no. 1 & 2 are Devar, petitioner no. 3 is father-in-law and petitioner no. 4 is mother-in-law of the informant.



It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that there is no specific allegation of illegal demand against these petitioners. They are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the family affairs of informant and her husband. The petitioners have relied upon a judgment of this Court, reported in 2006 (3) P.L.J.R. 182 (*Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. vs. The State of Bihar*).

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of petitioners.

However, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioner, in the event of her arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Sasaram in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 18 of 2019, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

