

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20752 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-94 Year-2021 Thana- BEN P.S. District- Nalanda

BAUNA DAS @ ARJUN RAVIDAS S/o Late Badri Ravidas @ Ramprit
Ravidas Resident of Village- Saidpur, P.S.- Ben, Dist- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nitya Nand Neeraj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dashrath Mehta, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period
of four weeks.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B)
and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent.

The informant alleges that he had married his sister on
12.05.2021 with Isu Ravidas and after marriage his sister was
residing in her matrimonial home at village Saidpur. The
husband and father-in-law of the informant used to torture her
for dowry. It is further alleged that on 06.07.2021 in the evening
the victim called the informant on phone and requested him to



take her back. Further, on 07.07.2021 at about 7-8 o'clock the informant was informed on phone that his sister has fled away accordingly, he reached the place of occurrence and came to know that his sister has been killed by the accused persons including the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that petitioner is neither related to the deceased nor to the husband of the deceased even remotely and is a co-villager. It is next submitted that it absolutely does not stand to reason that as to why the petitioner who is not related either to the deceased or her husband would have indulged in the occurrence. It is also submitted that father-in-law of the deceased is in custody.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Ben P.S. Case No. 94 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

