

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30058 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-292 Year-2020 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

ROHIT KUMAR @ MOHAN S/o Rambachan Gupta Resident of Mohalla-  
Manpur (Teli Tola), P.S.- Muffasil, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sudhir Kumar Sinha,Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bhanu Pratap Singh, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      23-02-2022                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Muffasil  
P.S.Case No.292 of 2020 registered for the offence under  
Sections 406,420,467 and 468/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is that one country  
made Katta loaded with one live cartridge and a Vivo Mobile  
were recovered from his possession.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits  
that it appears from the FIR that one country made pistol and  
one live cartridge has been recovered from conscious possession  
of the petitioner. He further submits that the police, after  
investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and  
the petitioner is in custody since 31.10.2020.



Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries five more cases other than the present one.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in connection with Muffasil P.S.Case No.292 of 2020 with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the



acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Nitesh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

