

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19713 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2018 Thana- LAHERIMUHALLA District- Nalanda

RAHUL RANJAN @ RAHUL ROY @ RAHUL RAM S/o Shyam Bihari
Kumar Resident of Village- Shivpuri, P.S.- Islampur, District- Nalanda. At/P-
Bharawpar, P.S.- Laheri, Dist- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 27-04-2022 The present petition is by way of fifth attempt at the behest of the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Lahari P.S. Case No. 72 of 2018 (S.Tr. No. 161 of 2019) under Sections 365, 366 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code inasmuch as the earlier prayer of the petitioner for grant of regular bail has been dismissed by this Court vide orders dated 23.7.2018, 17.4.2019, 18.12.2019 and 23.2.2021 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 34281 of 2018, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 8829 of 2019, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 63070 of 2019 and Criminal Miscellaneous No. 27118 of 2020.



The allegation levelled against the accused persons including the petitioner is of abducting the victim girl.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is languishing in custody since 21.3.2018. The learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that there is no substantial progress in the trial, hence, the petitioner be granted the privilege of bail.

Per contra, the learned APP for the State, by referring to the impugned order dated 2.2.2022, has submitted that six witnesses including the informant and the victim girl have already been examined by the learned trial court in the ongoing trial and the informant as also the victim girl have fully supported the case of the prosecution, apart from the fact that the petitioner was arrested from the place from where the victim girl was recovered and she has fully corroborated the alleged incident in her statement made before the learned Magistrate under Section 164 Cr.P.C., thus, there is no occasion to reconsider the case of the petitioner



for grant of bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the materials on record and I find that there is no change in circumstance so as to warrant reconsideration of the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail, apart from the fact that there is substantial progress in the trial as also the trial is likely to be completed soon in the near future, hence, I do not find any merit in the present petition, accordingly, the same stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

