

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19387 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-125 Year-2021 Thana- SIKANDRA District- Jamui

RAJESH PANDEY @ RAJESH @ RAJESH RANJAN PANDEY Son of
Parmanand Pandey Resident of Village - Kumar, P.S. - Sikandra, District -
Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dashrath Mehta, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-10-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 337, 338, 359, 307, 332, 333, 427, 188 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant alleges that on 09.05.2021 while he was on patrolling duty when he got an information about a Hyundai car parked near the house of Ranjeet Singh accordingly, he reached the place of occurrence for verification, it is next alleged that when the informant started the seizure procedure of the vehicle when 12 accused persons including the petitioner and 35 unidentified accused came variously armed



and damaged the police vehicle by pelting stones and further assaulted the police team with iron rod, *khanti*, lathi and stick, and thereafter, fled with the Hyundai car.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that the allegations are general and omnibus in nature. Learned counsel next submits that the vehicle does not belong to the petitioner, as such, the petitioner has no interest in the vehicle and as such, would not have indulged in the occurrence, it is also submitted that though it is alleged that police force was assaulted but then there is no injury report on record and the date of occurrence is 09.05.2021 and the FIR came to be instituted on 10.05.2021 which also creates doubt.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where
the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sikandra
P.S. Case No. 125 of 2021 subject to the conditions as laid down
under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

