

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19506 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-130 Year-2019 Thana- CHANDAN District- Banka

=====

AJRUDDIN SHEKH @ AJHARUDDIN SHEIKH Son of Md. Raju Resident
of Village - at Ward no.12 Hirna, P.S.- Deoghar, Sadar, Distt.- Deoghar, State-
Jharkhand.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Kumar Giri, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-08-2022 Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be

removed within four weeks of starting of Court proceeding in

physical mode in normal course.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the

offence punishable under Section 394 of IPC.

The FIR of the occurrence of loot is against unknown.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits

that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the
petitioner has been transpired only on the basis of the
confessional statement of co-accused and the self-confessional
statement of the petitioner. Further submits that nothing has



been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and till date no TIP has been conducted by the prosecution and the police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 28.02.2022.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Chandan P.S. Case No.130 of 2019, with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) One of the bailors shall be father of the petitioner.



And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

