

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19080 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-204 Year-2021 Thana- SAUR BAZAR District- Saharsa

SUMAN YADAV SON OF KARI YADAV R/O VILLAGE- KAPSIYA, P.S.-
SAR BAZAR, DISTRICT- SAHARSA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dashrath Mehta, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 27-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Saur Bazar P.S. Case No. 204 of 2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 147, 307, 379, 354, 384, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on account of dispute relating to land, the petitioner assaulted the son of the informant by *khanti* on head causing injury.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that on account of dispute relating to land the



present occurrence took place, it is also submitted that from side of the petitioner also an FIR has been instituted and persons from the side of the petitioner have also suffered grievous injury.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of the impugned order it would manifest that son of the informant was assaulted on head by *khanti* causing grievous injury, thus injury is on vital part and is grievous.

Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

