

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19076 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-33 Year-2021 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Patna

Dr. Ranjit Kumar Son Of Late Ram Dayal Das @ Late Ram Dayal Ram R/O -  
Ambedkar Nagar, P.S.- Bakhtiyarpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Rashmi Priya D/O- Dr. Naresh Kumar R/O- Sector-7, Flat No.-37, Bhotnath Road, P.S.- Agamkuan, District- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                   |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr.Samrendra Kumar Jha, Advocate  |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr.Md. Anbzarul Haque Sahara, APP |
| For the Informant        | : | Mrs. Alka Verma, Advocate         |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      28-04-2022                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to  
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the Office, within a  
period of four weeks.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case  
registered under Sections 498A, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian  
Penal Code and ¾ of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing  
torture upon the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of  
dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that



the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.***

On behalf of the State and the informant, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the Complaint Case/F.I.R.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Patna in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 33 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The petitioner is directed to co-operate during the trial. If the petitioner does not co-operate during the trial, the



Court below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

**(Sudhir Singh, J)**

Pankaj/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

