

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18114 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-632 Year-2021 Thana- BHABHU(KAIMUR) COMPLAIN C
District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

=====

Md. Istak Idrisi Son Of Islam Idrisi @ Md. Shamim Idrishi R/O Village And
P.S.- Dinara, District- Rohtas, Bihar-802213

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Ashmun Nisha Wife Of Istak Idrisi R/O Village- And P.S.- Dinara, District-
Rohtas At Sasaram, At Presently Residing Of Village- Amiratha, P.S.-
Kudra, District- Kaimur At Bhabhua

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Neerad Parashar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 01-11-2022 Heard the parties.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered under sections 498(a) of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation against the petitioner is of torturing the
informant in association of his family members on account of
non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and of ousting her out of
the matrimonial house.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that
the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence.
Petitioner has never made any dowry demand and has been
falsely implicated in the present case mostly on suspicion and
grudge. There is general and omnibus allegation against the



petitioner. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of *Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006 (3) PLJR 182.*

In view of the matter, let the above named petitioner be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in Complaint Case No. 632(c) of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

Petitioner is ready to pay Rs.3000 /- (Rupees Three Thousand) per month to opposite party No.2 in the first week of every month, for her maintenance, as per the bank account details furnished by her in the learned Court below.

It is made clear that if the petitioner fails to pay the aforesaid amount on two consecutive months, opposite party no.2 shall be at liberty to move before the learned Court below for cancellation of the bail bond of the petitioner.

It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be



subject to any order passed in matrimonial maintenance case or any other collateral proceedings.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

Accordingly, this application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

ajay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

