

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9194 of 2021**

=====

Sarwari Khatoon Wife of Ahmed Doza Resident of Chaknsir, Patepur, P.s.-  
Patepur, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Vaishali
3. The District Programme Officer, Vaishali
4. The Child Development Project Officer, Patepur
5. Chanchal Sahni Wife of Kamlesh Kumar Singh Resident of Teknari, Ward no. 12, P.s.- Patepur, District- Vaishali

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.K.Mandal (SC-3)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 16-02-2022**

The matter has been heard via video conferencing due to circumstances prevailing on account of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Learned counsel for the State accepts notice to respondent nos. 1 to 4.

Service of notice to respondent no.5 is dispensed since no adverse order is passed against respondent no.5- Chanchal Sahni.

In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief/reliefs:



*“i. For quashing the entire selection process held for the appointment of Aanganwari Sevika for the centre no. 336, Ward No. 12, in Teknari Panchayat whereby and whereunder the Chanchal Sahni-respondent no.5 has been appointed as Aanganwari Sevika in most arbitrary manner.*

*ii. For directing the respondent authorities to initiate a fresh selection process on the basis of the application forms submitted by the petition and other candidates as per the advertisement dated 19.01.2018 which is still pending.*

*iii. For any other relief/reliefs as your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the interest of Justice.”*

Petitioner's grievance is that petitioner has not been selected and respondent no.5 has been selected. Petitioner is stated to be more merited. Petitioner has already submitted



representation to respondent no.2-District Magistrate, Vaishali.

If it is so, the District Magistrate is hereby directed to decide the petitioner’s representation. If it is to be allowed, in that event, respondent no.5-Chanchal Sahni be heard in the matter. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order.

With the above observation, writ petition stands disposed of.

**(P. B. Bajanthri, J)**

Ankit/-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | NA         |
| Uploading Date    | 23.02.2022 |
| Transmission Date | NA         |

