

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8637 of 2021

Meena Kumari wife of Arbind Kumar Resident of Village - Mahangupur
wards no. 06 Post Office - Dharaut Police Station- Makhdumpur District-
Jahanabad (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Collector / District Magistrate, Jahanabad.
3. The Director ICDS, Directorate of ICDS Bihar. Social Welfare Department
second floor Indira Bhawan Ram Charitra Singh Path, Patna - 800001.
4. The Block Development Officer, Makdumpur Block - Makdumpur District-
Jahanabad.
5. The CDPO, Makdumpur Block - Makdumpur District- Jahanabad.
6. District Programme Officer, District Jahanabad.
7. The Circle Officer, Makdumpur Circle/ Anchal - Makdumpur District-
Jahanabad.
8. The Block Agriculture Officer Makdumpur Block - Makdumpur, District -
Jahanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Umesh Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Mandal, SC-3
		Ms. Neelam Kumari, AC to SC-3
		Mr. Bipin Kumar, AC to SC-3

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 22-02-2022

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

2. State counsel accept notice for respondent nos. 1 to 8.

3. In the instant petition, petitioner has has prayed for
following reliefs:-

(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to appoint the petitioner on village Mahangupur Grampanchayat Dharaut wards no. 6 on the post of Anganbari Sevika on the advertisement of 2018.

(ii) For issuance of any other appropriate writ/ writs, order/orders,



direction/directions for which the writ petitioner will be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

4. Petitioner is candidate for recruitment to the post of Anganwari Sevika pursuant to the Advertisement of the year 2018 in Ward No. 6, Village, Mahangupur Gram Panchayat, Dharaut.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that one of the selected candidate and appointed candidate is Guriya Kumari and her appointment is cancelled. Thereafter, petitioner is stated to be more merited candidate. Such a material is not placed on record. At the same time, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has already invoked remedy of appeal before the appellate authority and it is pending consideration.

6. In the light of these facts and circumstances, the appellate authority is hereby directed to decide the petitioner’s appeal within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order.

7. With the above observations, the instant petition stands disposed of.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

rakhi/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

