

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17811 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-93 Year-2021 Thana- NAVINAGAR District- Aurangabad

RANJAY YADAV @ RANJAY KUMAR YADAV, aged about 22 years, Son of Murari Yadav, Resident of Village - Bhawani Khaap, P.S. - Nabinagar, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjeev Nikesh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Nand Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State through Virtual mode.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove the defects, as pointed out by the office, within a period of four weeks.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Nabinagar P.S. Case No. 93 of 2021 for the offence registered under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that total 390 liters wine is recovered from the car in question.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the



present case. There is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. It is alleged that total 390 liters wine is recovered from the car in question. The car in question does not belong to the petitioner. The name of the petitioner has transpired in the present case on the basis of disclosure made by co-accused. Except for this, there is no other substantive evidence to suggest the implication of the petitioner in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner had no knowledge regarding the alleged incident. There is no compliance of Section 100 Cr. P.C. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance upon the order dated 13.04.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Criminal Appeal No. 626 of 2022(Sweta Kumari-Versus-State of Bihar).

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ Complaint Case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge-II-cum-Special Judge, Excise, Aurangabad, in connection with Nabinagar P.S. 93 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

