

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18042 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-385 Year-2021 Thana- KHAIRA District- Saran

Shoaib Raza @ Md. Hikayat S/o Late Rustam Ali R/o village- Hardi Chapra,
P.S.- Khaira, District- Saran, Nagra, Bihar

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Niranjana Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Kumar Kishan, Advocate.
	:	Mr. Avinash Raushan, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s :	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar No.2, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 03-08-2022 Let the defect, if any, be removed within two weeks
from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Khairah P.S. Case No. 385 of 2021 lodged under Sections
489(A), (B), (C), (D) read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

As per the prosecution case, the allegation against the
petitioner is to conspirator in creation of fake currency notes,
said to be prepared by printing machine keeping at his home and
then to distribute the said notes in the market with the help of
other co-accused persons.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that he is working in a private company at Abu Dhabi and returned back to India and shown his desire to contest the election. Only due to this reason his enemy has created a conspiracy against him and implicated him in the present false case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that in different paragraphs of the case diary, it has come that one Dhiraj Singh used to provide the fake currency note and he was the kingpin. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 06.11.2021, chargesheet has already been filed and he having clean antecedent. He further submits that petitioner is ready to fulfill all the conditions, whatever it may be, shall impose by the Court.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the present petitioner is the king conspirator of the said offence. He further submits that a printing machine to print the fake currency note was recovered and seized from the house of the present petitioner. The another point on which he has put his emphasis that on the information provided by the present petitioner, raid was conducted at the house of co-accused and the fake currency notes were



recovered, as such the bail may not be granted to the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, I am of the view that the allegation against the petitioner is of such nature which is extremely danger not only to the society rather the financial position of a nation also and in my view it is an offence which is extremely heinous category, in which the entire economy of the country used to come in danger.

In the above situation I am hereby rejecting the prayer of bail of the petitioner and subsequently directing to the trial court to conclude the trial of petitioner as expeditiously as possible.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

pooja/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

