

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17637 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-445 Year-2021 Thana- BARACHATTI District- Gaya

1. Pokhi Yadav Son Of Late Narayan Yadav Resident Of Village - Baijnathpur, P.S.- Mohanpur, District - Gaya.
2. Satish Kumar @ Chhotu Yadav @ Chhotu Kumar Son of Pokhi Yadav Resident of Village - Baijnathpur, P.S.- Mohanpur, District - Gaya.
3. Dinesh Yadav @ Dinesh Kumar Son of Girdhari Yadav Resident of Village - Baijnathpur, P.S.- Mohanpur, District - Gaya.
4. Jhalia Devi Wife of Girdhari Yadav Resident of Village - Baijnathpur, P.S.- Mohanpur, District - Gaya.
5. Dhumiya Devi @ Khushbu Kumari @ Jhunni Kumari Daughter of Girdhari Yadav Resident of Village - Baijnathpur, P.S.- Mohanpur, District - Gaya.
6. Urmila Devi Wife of Pokhi Yadav Resident of Village - Baijnathpur, P.S.- Mohanpur, District - Gaya.
7. Munni Kumari Daughter of Jagdish Yadav Wife of Satish Kumar @ Chhotu Yadav @ Chhotu Kumar, Resident of Village - Baijnathpur, Tola - Balabigha, P.S.- Mohanpur, District - Gaya.
8. Putul Devi @ Putul Kumari Daughter of Suresh Yadav Resident of Village - Baijnathpur, P.S.- Mohanpur, District - Gaya. At present Resident of Village - Musarshabda, P.S.- Mohanpur, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Syed Ehteshamuddin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 19-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in
a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 504,



506 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the petition with regard to petitioner Nos. 1 and 3 namely Pokhi Yadav and Dinesh Yadav @ Dinesh Kumar since they have been arrested.

Permission is accorded.

Accordingly, the petition with regard to petitioner Nos. 1 and 3 is permitted to be withdrawn.

It is alleged against the F.I.R named accused persons including the petitioners that they made an attempt to outrage the modesty of the informant and when protest was made, they made assault and looted away the household articles and jewellerys.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners and the informant are agnates and in the background of some land dispute, the present F.I.R has been registered. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. There is no accusation of repeating the blow. The injuries of the



informant's side have been found to be simple in nature.

A statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

In the facts and circumstance of the case, let the petitioners, above named, except petitioner Nos. 1 and 3, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Ten Thousands) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sherghati, Gaya in connection with Barachatti (Mohanpur) P.S. Case No. 445 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

