

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15981 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-275 Year-2021 Thana- OBRA District- Aurangabad

SUMAN PATEL, Son of Indradeo Singh Resident of Village - Bharub, P.s.-
Obra, Distt.- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ashok Kumar No.6
For the State	:	Ms. Sharda Kumari, APP
For the informant	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

5 25-08-2022 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
remove defect (s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a
period of four weeks on resumption of physical mode.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner has preferred this application for grant
of regular bail in a case registered u/s 304 (B) read with 34 of
the Indian Penal Code and and later on 302 IPC was added.

As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and co-
accused persons with 3-4 unknown persons are alleged to have
killed the informant's sister, Rinki Devi by strangulating her due
to non-fulfillment of demand of Rs. one lac and four wheeler as
dowry.



Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is husband of the deceased. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 13.11.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant have opposed the bail petition of the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner only is responsible for the murder of his wife.

Considering the aforesaid facts, circumstances and the nature of allegation against the petitioner who is husband of the deceased, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the prayer for regular bail of this petitioner is rejected.

The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of nine months.

If the trial is not concluded within the said time, the petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

The application stands rejected.

sanjeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

