

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16219 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-172 Year-2021 Thana- JAMHOR District- Aurangabad

GAUTAM KUMAR Son of Rakesh Singh Resident of Village - Satuahi, P.S. -
Jamhore, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukul Kumari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 15-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to
remove the defects within three weeks. In the eventuality of
non-removal of defects within undertaken period, the office will
place the matter before the Bench.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323
307, 379, 448, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code pending in
the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate III,
Aurangabad.

As per the F.I.R., petitioner along with other co-
accused entered the house of the informant and assaulted the
wife of the informant and other family members and also
snatched a golden chain from the neck of the wife of the



informant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that there is general and omnibus allegation levelled against the petitioner. He submits that there is case and counter case between the parties and both sides have sustained injuries. He further submits that petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of this application.

Per contra, learned APP for the State vehemently opposing the bail petition submitted that the allegations levelled against the petitioner of assaulting the victim with Khanti as a result of which she sustained head injury and on the perusal of the impugned order it is mentioned that the injuries found upon the victim are grievous in nature. Therefore, he does not deserve anticipatory bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Jamhore P.S. Case No.172 of 2021. Accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

ajay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

