

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15081 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2018 Thana- CHHAURADANO District- East
Champaran

-
1. Ram Babu Rai Son Of Bhola Rai Resident Of Village - Dhaphar, P.S.-
Chhauradano, Distt.- East Champaran.
 2. Anirudh Kumar Son Of Ram Babu Rai Resident Of Village - Dhaphar, P.S.-
Chhauradano, Distt.- East Champaran.
 3. Nitish Kumar Son Of Ram Babu Rai Resident Of Village - Dhaphar, P.S.-
Chhauradano, Distt.- East Champaran.
 4. Bittu Kumar Son Of Ram Babu Rai Resident Of Village - Dhaphar, P.S.-
Chhauradano, Distt.- East Champaran.
 5. Sajawal Rai Son Of Ramashish Rai Resident Of Village - Dhaphar, P.S.-
Chhauradano, Distt.- East Champaran.
 6. Raviranjana Kumar Son Of Sajawal Rai Resident Of Village - Dhaphar, P.S.-
Chhauradano, Distt.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Anil Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad
	Mr. Deep Anshuman

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 10-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioners are apprehending his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148,
149, 447, 448, 341, 323, 307, 379, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Petitioners are said to have come at the house of the
informant along with several arms and started assaulting and



looting.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that the present case is counter version of Chhauradano P.S. Case No. 115 of 2018. He submits that the police has filed final form against the petitioner nos. 3, 4, and 6 but the learned court below has taken cognizance against all six petitioners. He submits that the injury found upon the victim is simple in nature. He further submits that petitioners has no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of this application.

Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chhauradano P.S. Case No. 118 of 2018, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.



However, there is specific allegation against the petitioner no. 5, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner no. 5 on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected in connection with the aforesaid case.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

