

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15242 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2021 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Kumar Yadav

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to remove defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of four weeks from today.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Chakiya P.S. Case No. 407/2021, registered for the offences under Sections 30(a)/32/41(i) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act 2018.

The recovery is of huge quantity and the petitioner named by the local Chaukidar.

In view of the fact that prima facie a case under the Excise Act, is made out against the petitioner, this application for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is not maintainable in view of Full Bench decision of this court rendered in the case



of *Ram Vinay Yadav vs. The State of Bihar reported in 2019*
(2) P.L.J.R. 1089 (F.B.).

Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application is
dismissed as not maintainable.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

siddharthkr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

