

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14703 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-518 Year-2021 Thana- DARBHANGA SADAR District-
Darbhanga

=====

Deepak Kumar @ Golu Sahni @ Sonu Sahni Son Of Sukhdeo Sahni @ Raju Sahni, R/O Mohalla- Kilaghat Wajidpur Mahdhuali, P.S.- Laheriasarai, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Kumar Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Narendra Kumar Singh, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 17-08-2022 Let the defect, if any, be removed within two weeks
from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sadar (Mabbi O.P.) P.S. Case No. 518 of 2021 lodged under
Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation against the petitioner is to commit the
robbery alongwith two persons. The present petitioner has been
caught raid handed. The motorcycle which has been recovered
from the possession of the petitioner, belongs to other.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent. He further submits that petitioner is in

custody since 01.12.2021, charge sheet has already been submitted and charge has also been framed. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that no knife or any arm was recovered. He further submits that he is in no way related with the said motorcycle. On the point of his criminal antecedent which is in total six. He further submits that he is ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever it may be imposed upon the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the informant submits that petitioner is accused of robbery and caught raid handed from the place of occurrence. There is specific allegation against the petitioner to brutally assault the informant. He further submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is not clean and out of six cases, in five cases allegation of robbery/dacoity is there, present case is the seventh case and six cases are relating to same P.S. and in this background, he may not be released on bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

From the above facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made above, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at present. Accordingly, the bail petition of the

petitioner is hereby rejected.

Liberty is hereby granted that petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after lapse of one year from the date of framing of charge. Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

Speedy trial is one of the constitutional vision of justice and with a view to make the speedy trial, I am hereby directed the Court where all cases of similar nature is going on shall put one date in the following cases namely, LNMU P.S. Case No. 105/2010, LNMU P.S. Case No.70 of 2013, LNMU P. S. Case No.71 of 2013, LNMU P.S. Case No.63 of 2016, Jhanjharpur P.S. Case No. 40 of 2018 and Jhanjharpur P.S. Case No.41 of 2018, so that speedy trial may take place.

With this observation, the bail application is rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

ravishankar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--