

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.29 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-47 Year-1988 Thana- BAHADURGANJ District- Kishanganj

JAI SHANKAR PRASAD SINGH @ JAI SHANKAR SINGH Son of Late
Rameshwar Singh Resident of Rampur Tola, Village and Post- Bedhna, P.S.-
Barh, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Deptt. of Road Construction, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary Deptt. of Road Construction, Govt. of Bihar, Patna namely, Amrit Lal Meena.
3. The Special Secretary, Deptt. of Road Construction, Govt. of Bihar, Patna namely, Divesh Sehara.
4. The Accountant General of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Engineer-in-Chief, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Kishanganj.
7. The S.H.O., Bahadurganj Police Station, Kishanganj.
8. The Collector, Kishanganj.
9. Additional District Magistrate, Kishanganj.
10. The Public Information Officer, Kishanganj Civil Court, Kishanganj.
11. The Sub- Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kishanganj through its Registrar.
12. The District Informatics Officer, Kishanganj.
13. The Divisional Commissioner, Kishanganj.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.Nishant Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr.Saroj Kumar Sharma, AC to AAG-III

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 25-07-2022 Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

Mr. Saroj Kumar Sharma, learned AC to AAG-III, this Court is

of the considered opinion that this petition, in absence of the

complete foundation of facts, is not fit to proceed. This Court

has gathered an impression that the petitioner is looking for



certain documents/informations in respect of one Bahadurganj P.S. Case No. 47 of 1988. He prays for a direction upon the concerned authority to furnish a copy of the relevant document order/judgment or to give an information related to the status/position of Bahadurganj P.S. Case No. 47 of 1988 (Bahadurganj G.R. No. 438/88), if the case is pending against the petitioner.

In the entire writ petition there is not a single line saying that the petitioner had ever applied for getting the status/position of the said case in the learned court below. It is not his case that he was not involved in that case. He is not saying a single word that at any point of time he had applied for the certified copy of the judgment/order of the court.

In such circumstances, this Court finds that it is a completely misconceived kind of application. It is dismissed, accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

