

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13648 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-336 Year-2019 Thana- MINAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Ajay Ray @ Ajay Rai @ Ajay Kumar Son of Ram Padarath Ray Resident of
Village - Manikpur Maksoodpur, P.S.- Minapur, District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER**

3 15-07-2022 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State

through virtual Court proceedings.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period
of four weeks from today.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Minapur
P.S. Case No. 336 of 2019 registered for the offence under
Sections 272, 273, 419, 420 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal
Code and Sections 30(a) and 41(1) of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act, 2018.

The accused/petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is in
custody since 12.01.2022.

The allegation against the petitioner is to be engaged
in illegal trade of illicit liquor, where, there is recovery of



1517.76 litres of IMFL.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that name of the petitioner surfaced on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Rajiv Sahani and nothing recovered from conscious physical possession of the petitioner. While concluding the argument, it has been submitted that investigation has been completed, for which, charge-sheet has already been submitted in this case, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

Learned APP, while opposing the prayer of bail, fairly conceded the fact that this is not a case of recovery from the physical possession of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances as mentioned above, as the alleged recovery has not been made from the conscious physical possession of the petitioner coupled with the fact that charge-sheet has already been submitted, let the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Minapur P.S. Case No. 336 of 2019 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge Excise Act, Court No.1, Muzaffarpur/concerned court, subject to the following



conditions:

“(i)That petitioner shall not involve in the similar nature of offence till the conclusion of trial, failing which, the State shall be at liberty to move before the Trial Court itself for the cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner.

(ii) Accused/Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be physically present on each and every date before the Trial Court till conclusion of the trial and exemption from physical appearance be allowed by the Trial Court, only on medical ground of the petitioner duly supported by the documents.

(iii) That one of the bailors shall be Mamta Devi, who is the wife of the petitioner and deponent of the present bail petition.”

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

S.Katyayan/
R.S.Sen/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

