

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13407 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-185 Year-2020 Thana- CHHAURADANO District- East  
Champaran

=====

Ranjan Ray, Son of Late Brij Kishor Ray, Resident of Village- Laxmipur  
Nanhka Tola, Katharia, P.S.- Lakhaura, District- East Champaran, Motihari.  
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anshu Dhar Sharma, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. M. K. Nirala, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      11-07-2022                      Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to  
  
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, within a  
  
period of four weeks from today.

Heard Mr. Anshu Dhar Sharma, learned counsel  
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. M. K. Nirala,  
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the state.

The petitioner seeks regular bail, who is in custody  
with Chhauradano P.S. Case No. 185 of 2020 registered for  
offences punishable under Sections 341, 326, 307, 379, 384/34  
of the India Penal Code and Section 27 of Arms Act.

The prosecution case is based on a written report of  
the informant, who alleged that his brother had trade of fruits  
and on 14.07.2020 while he was coming after closing his shop,  
all the F.I.R. named accused persons surrounded him and the co-  
accused Chandrika Ray and Mantu Ray abused and said that he



has not paid Rs. 50,000/- as Rangdari and ordered to kill whereupon Ranjan Ray (petitioner) shot fire on the chest of the brother of the informant, who fell down and thereafter other accused persons looted the valuables.

It is submitted by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that there is enmity between the parties and he is in custody since 06.06.2021 and moreover the investigation of the crime is already completed and the charge-sheet has been submitted.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that there is specific allegation of firing against the petitioner.

Considering the specific nature of accusation and gravity of the offence that the petitioner fired upon the brother of the informant, causing grievous firearm injury, which is also supported by the injury report, inasmuch as he is also found involved in three other criminal cases, this Court is not persuaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the present application stands dismissed, for the present.

**(Harish Kumar, J)**

uday/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

