

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3904 of 2020

1. Sanjay Kumar Agrawal Son of Late Prabhu Dayal Agrawal Resident of Durgapur Raghapur P.S.-Raghapur, District-Supaul.
2. Umesh Pd.Singh Son of Late Sri Bindeshwari Pd. Singh, Resident of Raghapur, P.S. Raghapur District-Supaul.
3. Chandan Kumar Son of Satya Narayan Sah Resident of Durgapur-Raghapur, P.S. Raghapur District-Supaul
4. Amarika Devi Wife of Upendra Prasad Yadav Resident of Durgapur-P.S. Raghapur District-Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Union of India through the Secretary, Government of India, Department of Road Transport and National High Ways.
2. Secretary, Government of India, Department of Road Transport and National High Ways.
3. National High Way Authority Of India through its Chairman.
4. Chairman, National High Way Authority of India.
5. Nodal Officer, National High Way, Patna.
6. Superintending Engineer National High Way, Purnea.
7. Executive Engineer National High Way Madhepura.
8. District Magistrate, Supaul.
9. District Land Acquisition Officer, Supaul.
10. Executive Engineer Building Division, Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr.Binodanand Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondents	:	Mr.Md. Khursid Alam (Aag12)
For the Union of India	:	Dr. K. N. Singh, ASG
For the NHAI	:	Mr. S. N. Pathak, SC
		Ms. Vandana Kishore

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 11-10-2022 Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to
withdraw this application so that they may file their claim
before the Divisional Commissioner-cum-Arbitrator, Supaul



under Section 3G(5) of National Highway Act, 1956.

The learned counsel for the State and NHAI have no objection to the same.

The writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn with the liberty to the petitioner to move Divisional Commissioner-cum- Arbitrator, Supaul within sixty days from today.

The Arbitrator will decide the matter within five months after hearing the parties and pass a reasoned order in accordance with law. He will consider all the claims of the petitioners including the objection on the classification of land which has been acquired and the respondents are trying to give compensation treating the land to be agricultural land. All the evidences produced by the petitioners shall be considered and dealt by the Arbitrator in his final order.

With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Harsh/ BT

U			
---	--	--	--

